UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/700,344	11/03/2003	Andrew L. Cote SR.	1600/163	5277
	7590 05/19/200 & SUNSTEIN LLP	EXAMINER		
125 SUMMER	STREET	MACNEILL, ELIZABETH		
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/700,34	14	COTE ET AL.					
		Examiner	,	Art Unit					
		ELIZABET	ΓΗ R. MACNEILL	3767					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 4/30/08							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4/30/08</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□		/		prosecution as to the	e merits is				
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	,						
		4-110 is/are nending	n in the application						
	Claim(s) 37,40-47,49,63,65-73 and 94-110 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
'=	· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37,40-47,49,63,65-73 and 94-110</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election r	eauirement.						
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	on ana, or orocaon i	squii omonii						
	on Papers								
,	The specification is objected to by the								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		-						
	Applicant may not request that any object								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTonation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 4/30/08.	O-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/700,344 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 30 April 2008 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 37, 40-43, 45-47, 49, 63, 65-68, 70-73, 93-96, 98-105, and 107-110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brony (US 5,620,434).

 Brony teaches a medical valve comprising a housing (18) with inlet (14) and outlet (opposite end); a plug member (72) moveably mounted within the housing and defining a passageway (71) for fluid directing fluid through the valve and out of an opening at the distal end of the plug (82); and a substantially flexible, resilient gland member (80 or 78) supporting the plug (Fig 7/8), the gland member normally occluding the distal opening of

Application/Control Number: 10/700,344 Page 3

Art Unit: 3767

the plug. The gland pushes the plug upwards thereby occluding the opening (82). As to claim 47, see embodiment of Fig 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 44, 69, 97, and 106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brony as applied to claims above, and further in view of Paradis (US 6,079,432).

Brony does not teach that the gland has a seal section which provides a swabbable surface at the housing inlet face. The gland of Brony terminates along the plug (Fig 7 or 8) and is attached to the bottom of the interior of the housing.

Paradis (FIG 6C) teaches a valve with a cannula (54) surrounded by a gland member (62) which extends from the bottom of the interior of the housing (64) to the top of the housing (61) to provide a swabbable surface. The gland is resilient and opens to allow fluid to flow through the cannula/plug (54) and out of the valve (outlet 52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the extended gland of Paradis as an alternative the gland of Brony in the moveable plug/valve assembly because the extended gland allows the exterior of the valve to be easily cleaned and also seals the inlet of the valve to prevent contamination of the interior of the housing. Furthermore, it has been held and

improving similar devices in the same way is within the skill of an ordinary worker in the art.

The examiner further notes that the gland of Paradis is capable of supporting a moveable plug. See Fig 2C-2D showing the gland supporting a cam interface (30) which is of a "relatively harder material"

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELIZABETH R. MACNEILL whose telephone number is (571)272-9970. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,344 Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth R MacNeill/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 /Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767